

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,451	08/27/2003	Subhas C. Bose Jayappa Veeramma	011775-013210US	7137
20350	7590 01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
	D AND TOWNSEND	NADAV, ORI		
	ARCADERO CENTER		ADTIBUT	DADED MUMBED
EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	10/650,451	BOSE JAYAPPA VEERAMMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		ori nadav	2811			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirred within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 De	<u>ecember 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers		•			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> is/are:		to by the Examiner.			
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		7. 1			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	- "			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
•	application from the International Bureau	` ''				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ателі Арріваціон (РТО-102)			
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Reference sign 7(b) and corresponding n+ layer, is not depicted in figures 1, 3-4, 6 and 8. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakagawa et al. (5,241,210).

Nakagawa et al. teach in figure 10 and related text a power device, comprising:

a semiconductor substrate 31 of first conductivity having an upper surface and a lower surface;

an isolation diffusion region 35 of second conductivity provided at a periphery of the substrate and extending from the upper surface to the lower surface of the substrate, the isolation diffusion region having a first surface corresponding to the upper surface of the substrate and a second surface corresponding to the lower surface;

a peripheral junction region 34 of second conductivity formed at least partly within the isolation diffusion region and formed proximate the first surface of the isolation diffusion region,

wherein the peripheral junction region is provided to compensate the surface depletion of the isolation diffusion region.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Nakagawa et

Art Unit: 2811

Nakagawa et al. teach substantially the entire claimed structure, as applied to claim 1 above, except a peripheral junction region being a P+ region and the isolation diffusion region being a P region. Nakagawa et al. teach in figure 2 a peripheral junction region 10 being a P+ region and the isolation diffusion region 7b being a P region. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a peripheral junction region being a P+ region and the isolation diffusion region being a P region in Nakagawa et al.'s device in order to use the device in an application which require this type of conductivity. Note that it is conventional to reverse the polarity of the transistor, of which official notice is taken.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the Restriction Requirement is improper since one or more generic claims exists for the alleged multiple species.

The issue whether one or more generic claims exists for the multiple species is not the criteria for the restriction requirement. Even if one or more generic claims exists for multiple species the restriction requirement is still proper.

Applicant argues that figure 1 should not be designated as Prior Art, because it is only a conventional device and not a prior art device.

Art Unit: 2811

A figure should be designated by a legend such as —Prior Art— when a device which is old is illustrated. A conventional device is a known and old device, and thus should be designated by a legend such as —Prior Art—. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Applicant argues that figures 1, 3-4, 6 and 8 should not include reference sign 7(b) and corresponding n+ layer, because the embodiments of figures 1, 3-4, 6 and 8 are different from the embodiment of figure 5 which requires reference sign 7(b) and corresponding n+ layer.

If applicant's position is that the embodiments of figures 1, 3-4, 6 and 8 do not require reference sign 7(b) and corresponding n+ layer, these elements should be deleted from the description in the disclosure of the corresponding embodiments.

Applicant argues that Nakagawa does not disclose "the peripheral junction region", because n+ layer 34 is a conductive region connected to the cathode and is not "the peripheral junction region," as recited in claim 1.

Claim 1 recites a peripheral junction region of second conductivity formed at least partly within the isolation diffusion region and formed proximate the first surface of the isolation diffusion region. Region 34 of Nakagawa is a region formed at a peripheral junction of two other layers, being of second conductivity, and formed at least partly within the isolation diffusion region and formed proximate the first surface of the isolation diffusion region. Therefore, region 34 of Nakagawa is "the peripheral junction region", as recited in claim 1.

Applicant argues that Nakagawa does not teach P type regions, because "Figure 2 of Nakagawa disclose P region for numeral 7b, not P+ region".

The examiner does not understand applicant's argument as to what is meant by the phrase "Figure 2 of Nakagawa disclose P region for numeral 7b, not P+ region".

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC

Application/Control Number: 10/650,451 Page 7

Art Unit: 2811

2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1660**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**

O.N. 12/29/04 ORI NADAV
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Un Nall